

Securing University Research Against Foreign Threats

In addition to new policies and procedures established by universities themselves, Congress, the administration, and federal research agencies have taken <u>several actions</u> since 2018 to address research security through provisions included in the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), the CHIPS and Science Act, and National Security Presidential Memorandum 33 (NSPM-33). It is essential to allow implementation time for these changes (including the administration's work on NSPM-33) before enacting even more new research security requirements. Layering on additional requirements before those already taken are fully implemented and evaluated could have a counterproductive effect on the ability to conduct important research on behalf of the American people.

In the last five years, Congress has passed legislation to address risk-based security reviews for DOD-funded research projects, faculty disclosures, malign foreign talent programs, research security training for faculty and staff, foreign gifts from countries/entities of concern, and Confucius Institutes. Congress has also established the National Academies Roundtable on Science, Technology and Security; the interagency research security subcommittee (formerly known as JCORE); and a new research security and analysis sharing organization also known as the "SECURE" center. We urge Congress to allow for these existing provisions and policies to be implemented and for their impact to be evaluated before considering additional measures to address research security.

Research Security Provisions Enacted by Congress

FY19 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 115-232) Section 1286

FY20 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 116-92) Sections 1281 and 1746

FY21 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 116-283) Sections 223, 1062, 1299C, and 9907

CHIPS and Science Act of 2022 (P.L. 117-167)
Sections 10114, 10331, 10336, 10337, 10338, 10339, 10631, 10632 and 10634

SBIR and STTR Extension Act of 2022 (P.L. 117-183)
Section 4

FY24 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 118-31)
Sections 1044, 1045, 1223 and 1224

<u>What's at stake</u>: If the United States does not carefully balance the need for new security requirements with the associated costs, we risk losing our competitive advantage as other nations seek to advance their research capabilities.

America's leading research universities take seriously the economic and national security threats posed by foreign adversaries and universities have taken steps to secure and protect the research they conduct. At the same time, international scientific collaboration and scientific openness remain critical to America's continued global scientific leadership.

Key Facts: The Association of American Universities (AAU) and the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU) have <u>surveyed</u> our members to collect effective research security practices. The results demonstrate that universities are taking these matters seriously and have taken steps to address research security, including:

- **Research Security Strategy and Coordination**: Universities have established campus-wide working groups and task forces on research security which regularly meet to review the latest threats and effective practices and discuss policy implementation. Institutions have also established a chief research security officer position to coordinate and oversee efforts to protect and secure research.
- Research Security Training Requirements & Awareness Building Efforts: Universities are incorporating
 the NSF research security training modules as required by the CHIPS and Science Act of 2022.
 Universities have created centralized websites with guidance and FAQs for faculty to keep them
 apprised of the growing list of federal policies and requirements related to research security.
- **Risk Assessment and Mitigation Process**: Universities have developed risk criteria and use of comprehensive review processes for review of grants, contracts, and foreign gifts. To this end, institutions increasingly have established a risk management committee for discussion and review of international engagements and collaborations.
- **Policies on Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest and Conflicts of Commitment**: Universities have reviewed their conflict-of-interest and conflict-of-commitment policies and made updates to faculty disclosure policies to more clearly identify foreign affiliations, relationships, and financial interests.
- Engagement and Coordination with Federal Intel Agencies and Security Officials: Universities
 regularly meet with, and have built strong relationships with, their local FBI offices. Universities also
 engage research funding agencies when they need to mitigate and resolve research security issues.
- *Policies on Foreign Gifts and Contracts Reporting*: Universities have assessed their policies on reporting foreign gifts and contracts and have improved their reporting procedures as part of Section 117 of the Higher Education Act.
- Policies on Export Control Compliance: Universities have put in place comprehensive policies regarding
 whether and how they will undertake export-controlled research activities. This includes using
 restricted-party-screening software within shipping, procurement, and academic visitor processes.
 Additionally, universities have an export control officer (or officers) with overall responsibility for
 ensuring university compliance with export control rules and other security controls.
- Policies on International Travel & International Visitors to Campus: Universities have developed new
 risk-based international travel policies for faculty and staff; some require researchers to pre-register
 their foreign travel. Universities have also developed requirements for vetting and securely hosting
 foreign visitors while on campus, including centralized processes for evaluating prospective visitors.

Legislation has helped strengthen disclosures, address malign foreign talent programs, restrict Confucius Institutes, and mandate training for university faculty and staff. Universities are continually improving their research security programs on campus.